L.R

(1892-93)

57-92.

1-25

Sub:- Acquisition of Hastapam near Lal bagh -

105 KE

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

hR File No. ~~1~~ 57 / 91

Serial Nos. 1-25

191

(Land Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 25

Major Part B for

SUBJECT.

Acquisition of Mantapam near Lal Bagh

Countermarked Ref Checked

Issued

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Office Note.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Regarding lands to be given in exchange to Mr B. G. Krishnamayyangar for lands taken up for the extension of Botanical Gardens, (Lal Bagh) Bangalore.

No 225 Dy 18-2-93.
(Serial No 14).

In February 1893. the Supdt. Lal Bagh, proposed, with the approval of the Dewan, the acquisition for the extension of the Botanical gardens, of Survey No 5 (Inam lands) in the Annepna village, of the Agaram Hobli, Bangalore Taluk. The Supdt stated that the site in question comprising 8-10 A. S of Inam lands had been in the possession of Nabe Saheb's Mukkan and has been mortgaged to three persons, viz, Yadava Annganna

Annamma, Gollar Kadarappa,
and Mr Gannadacharry
and that its acquisition
would complete the extension
of the Botanical Gardens
and make the contour
or outline sufficiently re-
gular to admit an enclosure
wall being erected to
define the garden boundary once
for all.

2. On receipt of the above, the Dy: Commr, Bangalore, was asked to take necessary steps for the acquisition of the land in question and to report for further orders of Govt the amount of compensation payable therefor. No 14927 Dy 8-3-93. R. 1820 (Serial no 15)

3. Accordingly the Dy: Comm. forwarded for publication in the Gazette a draft declaration under the Land Acquisition Regulation and reported that the amount No 226 Dy 21-8-93 (Serial no 17)

of

No 10532 Dy 31-12-93
Ref. 196-92
(Serial no 18)

No 1103 Dy 15th January 1901.

settled in communication with Mr Cameron.

4. The necessary declaration was published in the Gazette in due course.

5. Now, the Dy: Commr, Bangalore Dt, States that out of A·S 8-10 for which declaration was published, A·S 4-34 were in the enjoyment of Mr Rly. Krishna Iyengar, Son of Mr Garudachar, the mortgagee thereof; that this was an Inam land attached to the Nabesahib's Makkan in Bangalore; and its mortgage to Mr Garudachar had been recognized during the Inam Settlement. In 1895, this land was acquired and given over to the possession of Mr Cameron. It was proposed that compensation at

acre might be paid to Mr.
B.G. Krishna Iyengar, who,
in return requested that
suitable lands in the nei-
-ghbourhood might be
purchased by Govt and
granted to him, in exchange,
to be held on the conditions
which applied to the Makkan
land mortgaged to his father.
As it was not easy to purchase
lands in the neighbourhood
wh would suit Mr Krish-
-na Iyengar the question had
remained unsettled. Now
the Dy Commr states that
the proposal to purchase,
at Rs 150/- per acre, a portion
of Survey No 56 in the Yadayanna
Nagasandra village in the
Bangalore Taluk in order
to give it, in exchange, has
been agreed to by Mr
B.G. Krishna Iyengar.
The extent of land that
could be purchased at

~~Rs~~ 150/= per acre and ~~of~~ com-
-pensation amount of ~~Rs~~
848-12-0 is 5-26 A-S acres ~~at~~
~~at Rs~~ 7-8-0. The Assessment
on the Lands taken up for
~~the~~ Botanical Garden
is ~~Rs~~ 6-8-0.

The Dy: Comm^r. States that
Mr Krishna Iyengar has
agreed to pay the excess
Assessment of ~~Rs~~ 1-0-0, as
per and requests under
these circumstances orders
of Govt. regarding the
grant of the Lands to be
given to him in exchange.

/

A Copy Mr Inam
Settlement Register will
throw more light on
the tenure Mr Inam
It is presumed to be
a Kind of Devadaya
Inam.

The Dy Comm^r letter
does not state how

Yes ✓

the other shares of the
Inam acquired were
dealt with.

The Dy Coll may
be asked to sub-
mit a further report
with requisite inform
-ation -

Submitted to
Secretary for orders

N
8/2/01

Vide a Case C
10/2/01

11/2/01

12/2/01

Note - Continued.

S. No 23.
Docket No 1345
dy 18.9.01
Letter No D 1157
dy 23.4.03

Serial No 2

In reply to the enquiry made as above, the Dy Collr reports as follows :—

Out of Survey No 5 of the Annipur village, the Inam land belonging to the Attavalasha Makkan, 4-34 (A G) were mortgaged to the late Mr B. G. Krishnaiengar before the Inam Settlement. In the Inam certificate it

is remarked that a quit-rent of Rs 6-- a year should be paid to the Makan. Out of the remaining portion measuring A 3 G 16, a portion measuring A 1 G 24 was mortgaged to Nagamma, the daughter-in-law of Sadar Rangappa, and A 1 G 32 to one Kadarappa, subsequent to the Inam Settlement. Accordingly, it was ordered that exchange lands should be given to the Makan but not to the mortgagees in these two cases.

The Dy Comr states that exchange lands in the two latter cases will be selected & the matter submitted for the orders of Govt after the settlement of Mr B. G. Krishna Iyengar's claim.

The paper, marked A, in the [redacted] Kannada file is a statement showing the settlement of the inam lands belonging to the Makan of Attavalasha makan. The lands to be handed over to the Makan are shown in the statement. The land of Mr B. G. Krishniengar is not shown therein, but is referred to in the order on the back of the statement as having been confirmed to the mortgagee.

Orders have to be passed regarding the proposal previously made by the Dy Comr - to purchase A 5 G 26 out of Sur: No 56 of Yadiyuru Nagasandra village and

give

give it in exchange for the land of
Mr B. G. Krishnaiengar taken up.

Submitted to the Secretary H.M. 15/5/03.

N 19/5/03

I do not know whether Govt would not be going out of its way to purchase lands for exchanging. The Proper Compensation should be paid in money and if any man wants terms are required, the owners may purchase lands & apply for Concessions. But in this case, as Mr. Krishnaiengar is dead, the terms agreed to with him may be carried out. A draft is submitted.

H 20/5/03

The Dewan through the HBM Councillor

Please refer this to the Resident Commr

R. 1/6/03. 1209

N 21/5/03

The Dy Comm's last letter is a reply to this office inquiry dated 14 Feb 1907. The Dy Cr knew the order of Correspondence through the Rev. Comr well enough; and he might well have written to the Rev Cr. But apparently as the question was only one about Payt of Compensation, he considered it 'financial' & wrote to Govt. At any rate, I thought so as the matter was long pend- ing a draft disposing of the thing finally was put up It would eventually have to come to Govt anyway

affdt land.

10623
8.6.03

The Dewan

6/6/3

"257"
refer new Wlons.

25.VI.03

Draft Subd
20/6/03

27/6/3

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. D 1157 dy 23.4.1903 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore District.

Order thereon:-

Survey No. 5, inam land belonging to the Attavalashe Makan, measuring A 8 - G 10, in the Annipur village, Bangalore Taluk, was in ~~the year~~ 1895 taken up for extending the Govt Botanical Gardens, Bangalore. Out of this land, a portion measuring A 4 - G 34 had been mortgaged to ~~[illegible]~~ Sahukar Garudachar and was in the enjoyment of his son, the late Mr B.G. Krishniengar. The mortgage, which had been effected prior to the Inam Settlement, was recognised during the said Settlement. For the A 4 - G 34, compensation at the rate of Rs 175/- an acre was fixed; but Mr Krishniengar requested that suitable lands might be granted to him in exchange, to be held on the conditions which applied to the Makan land mortgaged

to

to his father. The claim remained unsettled for some time as proper lands could not be found in the neighbourhood. At last, ~~Mr~~ Mr. Krishnaiengar consented to have ~~a portion~~ 5-26 out of Sur: No. 56 of Yadiyuru Nagasandra village, Bangalore Taluk. The Dy Comr proposed to purchase 5-26 A G of the said survey number at Rs 150/- per acre, out of the amount of compensation viz Rs 848-12-0 payable to Krishnaiengar, and grant the same in exchange for the 4-34 A G taken up, the difference in the assessment being made payable kfort as Jodi.

Post the owner thereof having refused to give it up, the Dy Comr has now with his consent of Mr B K Garudachar arranged to purchase ~~portion~~ 4-34 out of Sur: No 10 of the Uttarahalli village at Rs 175/- per acre out of the

Mr Dy Comr further state, that the amount of compensation were not obtained ~~as there were~~ from the Supdt of the Govt Garden & ~~the Same~~ held in deposit for purchasing land when available & requests that the amt may be placed at his disposal.

2. The purchase of 5-26 A G out of Sur: No 56 and ~~for~~ granting it in exchange for the land taken up are sanctioned. The difference in ~~the~~ assessment between the two lands, which will be fixed ~~should be ascertained~~ by the Supdt of Rev Survey in Mysore, should be paid kfort recovered as Jodi ~~from~~ by the party concerned.

3. It is observed that the proper course to be adopted in such cases is to pay compensation in money, and not to purchase lands for exchanging. If any inam terms are required, the owner of the land taken up may purchase lands, and apply for concession. But in the case in question, as Mr Krishnaiengar is dead, the terms agreed to with him are sanctioned as a special case.

16
25/5/07

Secretary

To

The Rev Comr in Mysore.
The Supdt of Rev Survey in Mysore
The Supdt of Inam Settlements.
The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt,
returning rec: papers

(General Serial No. 30.)

Rev
26/9/92
S.R.W

Draft Ltr
H.N.
18/10/92

Ask Dy. Comr. to send up the proceedings in the matter of the acquisition of this Mantapam. What is the amt. of compensation offered and what is demanded? Under what sanction is this ~~ban~~ Mantapam proposed to be acquired?

M.K.
24/10/92

Drafted.
H.N.
25/10/92

1892 - 93 - 3423.
OFFICE OF THE
20 SEP 92
C.R.928

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 24th September 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
No. 306.

Has the honor to forward for publication in the Mysore Gazette a Notification under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act for land required to be taken up for the improvement of the Government Lal Bagh. Mr. Vaidanatha Iyer may be authorized under Section 7 to take steps to acquire the land.

Dy Commissioner

der the provisions of Sec 6 of the Land Acquisition Act X
clared that the land described in the schedule annexed is nee
ses, that is, for Government Lal Bagh Improvement

Situation	Name of owner	Extent North to South Feet	Extent East to West Feet	Extent Area Feet	Description of Building	Assessment Rs. As. P.	Remarks
Near Government Lal Bagh Door No. 4	Nanjamma wife of Mitayee Mooneappa.	9 1/2	11 1/2	109 1/4	Stone Mantapa	" "	East:- Avenue West:- Government North:- Makan South:- Public

Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Sheet 24 Number 2

Asst Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

(2)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy: Comm^r of the
Bangalore District

Dated 28th oct^r 192

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6138

No. R. 803-92

Calling for the proceedings in the matter of the acquisition of a mantapam required for the improvement of the Govt Lal Bagh -

References to be marked.

(2)

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

Dated October 1892.

With reference to his docket no 306 dated the 24th ultimo, forwarding for publication in the Mysore Gazette a notification under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act for the acquisition of a mutta pan required for the enpronement of the Government Dalleagh at Bangalore, has the honor to request the Deputy Commissioner will

6138

No R803-92

28-10-92

2nd Nov.

Send up the proceedings relating to the case, with a report as to the amount of compensation claimed & that which was offered to and refused by, the owner of the manāpaim in question.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

By order

&c. - draft for acknowledgment

28/10/92

undertaking

References to be marked.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

4 NOV 92
R 1196
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner (3)
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 3rd November/92

Despatched

Received 9 PM 3/11/92

Enclosures No.

General No. 3095

D No. 3

In reply to No. 306 of the 24th Septr/92

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the Notification regarding the acquisition of a piece of ground near the Lal Bagh may be published in a Gazette Extraordinary & power given to the Dy Commissioner to take possession of the same, under the Land Acquisition Act.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rolle

The draft of Docket No 6138 803.92 was passed on the 28th Oct & I did not receive till the 2nd Nov 1892. Who is responsible for this delay? Mrk 4/11/92

Subd.

The draft was read on the Evening of the 28th, 29th & 30th were holidays. The same was fair copied on the 1st & despatched on the 2nd. It should have been fair copied & Submitted on the 31st Ultimo, but as there were several other drafts, this was not attended to.

H.D. 4/11 This explanation is not satisfactory. I wish to know with whom the Draft was lying from the Evg. of the 28th. Mrk

Subd.

The draft was with Chinnasawmi Pillé who is the only Copyist now available for fair copy in the Revenue Branch, as the other Copyist (Soobbu) doing the duties of the despatching Clerk during the latter's absence on leave. If Chinnasawmi Pillé had known (and I did not know too) that the matter was urgent we should have Subd the fair copy on the 1st holiday. On the 31st however, there were more than 20 drafts with him & it was

P.D.O.

impossible for him to get thro' the whole lot that very day, though he tried, to the utmost effect, to get some of the fair drafts to fair copies in the General Branch.

W. D. 4/11 The Hd. Accountant ought to have brought to my notice the fact that Draft to be fair copied had accumulated in the absence of one of the Copyists and it was his duty to have arranged for the conduct of an absentee's work—

The Hd Acct. ought further to have arranged for urgent work during the two holidays viz: the 29th & 30th.

~~Fair copies of drafts should not be delayed for more than 3 days.~~

On no account should the interval between the Date of the draft and the issue of its fair copy Exceed 3 days—. Cases of infringement of this rule will be severely noticed. Let this rule be entered in the order Book & circulated to all Offices— Mysore 4/11/82

D No. 393.

Deputy Commissioner's
Office Bangalore Dte
3rd November 1892

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter no: 306 dated 24th September last regarding the acquisition of a mantapam near the Lal Bag, I have the honor to report that it is very desirable to remove the mantapam which is an eyesore, before the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy I request that the notification may be published

in a Gazette Extraordinary and
power given to me under Section
17 of the Land acquisition Act
to take possession of the Manta
-ham.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

3 Nov.

(4)

189

From Very Urgent

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 4th November 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6246
No R820-92

His letter No 393 of the 3d. November 1892, requesting that power may be given under Sec 17 of the Land Acquisition Act to take possession of a Mantapam near the Lake Bund.

Requests that the proceedings and information asked for in this office docket no. 6138 Dy 28th October/92 (R 803.92) may be submitted forthwith.

By Order

4/11/92

5

Received
5/11/9

GOVERNMENT AGENT SOUTH

Dear Mr. Narayana Row.

General No 6246 4th Inst.
R. 820-92

about the Mantapa near
the Kal Bay.

I have sent for the
information from the
Municipal Office and shall
send it on soon. But in
the meantime I may
tell you that the owner
has refused to make over
the Mantapa for any
price - another piece of
land near the Kal Bay

is asked for in exchange but such exchange is impossible - From the Act you will find that the question of fee compensation is to be settled upon the notification. So I think the notification & permission under sec 17 need not be delayed. If you agree with me please issue the necessary orders &c

Yours sincerely
Chupaitah

4 Nov 92

Replied

(b)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

5th November 92.

Mydear Mr. Shujat ali Khan

Your D.O. re Mantapam near Lal Bagh. Kindly let me know if the subjoined Sketch correctly describes the position of the Mantapam in question.

South.

Entrance to the Lal Bagh.

E.)) || || Road. Road. W.

A Mantapam in question.

North.

I do not think Section 17 applies to the case of building. If at all, the Municipality in question will have to be taken possession of under Section 16 & before this is done, all the formalities prescribed in Sections 9 to 15 have to be gone through.

Yours very truly
(Sgd) M Narasimha Rao

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

(7)

Dear Mr Ramachar

I see you are right. Sec 17 does not apply but if you publish the notification at once there is yet time to acquire the Mantapa and pull it down.

Your sketch is correct

Yours sincerely

Shujaat Ali

5th Nov 92

6th Nov 92

My dear Dr Shupatakhan.

Re The Mantapam near the Lall Bagh, the Honble P. Bentsah Rao is of opinion that as all the formalities required by the Land Acquisition Act have to be gone through, it will not be possible to remove the building before the Viceroy's arrival on the 22nd & that the matter may therefore lie over for the present.

The bare wall of the mantapam might, it is suggested, be covered up with green branches of trees or a few Cocoanut branches.

Yrs Sincerely G.

BROSAN & LENNEY, 99

(General Serial No. 30.)

Mysore 475-3-
1892 - 93 - 92 -
R/225
DEWAN OFFICE

Revenue Branch

Make a brief precis of the vernacular papers

MRR
6/11/892

Precis subd
DS. 8.11.92.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

9

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 5th November 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & Ver: Papers

General No.

D No. 410.

No. 6138 dated 28th October/92.
R-803-92
No. 6246 dated 4th November/92.
R 820-92

Referring to his communications noted in the margin, regarding the acquisition of the Mantapam near the Lal Bagh, has the honor to forward herewith, copy of docket No. 793 of the 4th Instant with its Vernacular file from the Vice President of the City Municipality, from which it will be seen that the owner did not accept Rs: 49-4-6, as Compensation

Rec'd 7/11

for the Mantapam in Question.

Deputy Commissioner

From

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

The President
City Municipal Commrs

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

No 793 dated 4th November 1892
Enc: 6

With reference to his memo 1144 of this date, has the honor to state that the owner of the mantapam referred to claimed a compensation of Rs 100, in addition to the grant of a fresh piece of land, to rebuild the mantapam thereon. The value of the mantapam, as estimated, amounts to Rs 49-4-6. It was thought that the owner was entitled to money compensation only, as the site on which the mantapam stands belongs to Government, the owner did not accept Rs 49-4-6 which

Cutak papers are herewith forwarded.

Sd/ K. Srinivasa Row
Vice President

Copy
Asst Secretary
by Commissioners

In re acceptance of Lal Bang
Mantap - (4) K.W.
Neder Comedelen 2
Will of course instruct
When you ask him &
you will act accordingly.
In my opinion decy
does not apply because
(1) Mantap is not arable
waste land
(2) Even so you cannot
take arable waste
land till 15 days have
elapsed after notice -

But for these restrictions any
body's house can be knocked

Some at any time —

The Mantab ~~Estate~~ has existed so long & may exist some time longer — at least during the time required by the formalities of an Equity — This is however my own opinion — You had better look up the rec: before you submit a note to the Councillors

Vide W. Chentral Rajs orders on Mr Menzies file with

Reed 6/11.

[illegible]

[illegible]

8/2/92

[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
16-2-92
BANGALORE DIST.

5085

[illegible]

8-2-92 ... 312 ...

[illegible]

... 100 ...

[illegible]

15-2-92

on Govt land
A notice has been
issued to him to
demolish the
building & to
remove the materials
as he has no claim
to the Govt land
He therefore now
asks that the
order may be
cancelled
or that a separate
land be given
him in com-
pensation

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು,
ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆ.

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಳೆದುಹೋದ ಚಿನ್ನದ ಸರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ನಿವಾಸಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕೃಷಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ವಯಸ್ಸು 45 ವರ್ಷ. ನನ್ನ ಪತ್ನಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಗಂಗಮ್ಮ ಅವರು ಕಳೆದ ಭಾನುವಾರ ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-2-92 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು 12 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಬಸ್ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಸ್ಸಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಾಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ, ಅವರ ಕೊರಳಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ಗ್ರಾಂ ತೂಕದ ಚಿನ್ನದ ಸರ ಕಳೆದುಹೋಗಿದೆ.

ಸರವು ಅರ್ಧ ತೊಲ ಚಿನ್ನದ್ದಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಪುಟ್ಟ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಪದಕ ಇತ್ತು. ಸರವನ್ನು ಯಾರೋ ಕಳ್ಳರು ಕದ್ದಿರಬಹುದೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಅನುಮಾನವಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರವನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆಹಚ್ಚಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸರವು ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನು ಬಂದು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸರವನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆಹಚ್ಚಲು ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಬಹುಮಾನ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ವಿಳಾಸ: ಮನೆ ನಂ. 112, ಕುಂಬಾರ ಓಣಿ, ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗಪುರ
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 19/2/92

16/4/92

36.



No. 119
BANGALORE-DIS

2

3

4

10-5-92

11/5/92

ಸಂಬಳ ಅಡಿ 30
ಶಾಂತಿ " 2
ಉಳಿತಾಯ " 3
ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಡಿ = 180

ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಡಿ 1 ಕ್ಕೆ - 0-1-6 ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 16-14

ಸಂಬಳ ಅಡಿ 30
ಶಾಂತಿ " 1½
ಉಳಿತಾಯ " 7
ಒಟ್ಟು - 315

ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಡಿ 1 ಕ್ಕೆ - 10 ಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 16-

ಸಂಬಳ ಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 5

ಶಾಂತಿ ಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 2

ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 8

ಒಟ್ಟು ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತಾದ್ದರಿಂದ - 49-

3 — 5 — 92.

K.W.I.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS

Submitted for orders.

—

The Dy Commr. of Bangalore proposes to acquire under the Land acquisition Act a small stone Mantapam 9½' × 11½', near the Entrance to the Lalbagh. The necessity for the acquisition, the amount of compensation claimed, the amount offered by the Dy Commr. and their particulars are not reported. These particulars were called for in Docket No 6138 of 28th Oct 1892 to which no reply has yet been received —

In his letter of the 3rd instant, the Dy Commr. says that "it is very desirable to remove the Mantapam which is an Eyesore, before the visit of H.E. the Viceroy,

and request that the necessary notification under Sec 6 of the Land Acquisition Act may be published in a Gazette Extraordinary and power given to him under Sec 17 of the Act to take possession of the Mantapam -

On receipt of this letter, I wrote to the Dy Comm^r. asking for an early reply to Docket No. 6138 & 28th Oct. Promising to submit the information called for, the Dy Comm^r. in his D.O. of yesterday, says that "the owner has refused to make over the mantapam for any price, Man for Exchange is asked for but that such Exchange is impossible -

The following rough sketch shows the position

* Drawn to answer the description given in the Dy Comm^rs. letter Draft Notification & after possession

of the Mantapam in
question -



A denotes the Mantapam
proposed to be acquired.

=

Orders are solicited
for publishing the Noti-
-fication under Sec 6
and directing
the Dy. Comr. to take order
for the acquisition of the
building under Sec 7 -
The publication of the Notifn.
in a Gazette Extraordinary
is, I think, not called for.

Section 17. applies,
I think, only to cases of
waste or arable land
and not to buildings -
Possession of a building
can only be taken under

Sec. 16. after the formalities prescribed in Secs. 9 to 15 have been gone thro'. In any case, 15 days must elapse between the date of the publication of the notification & the taking ~~of~~ possession of the building.

Memo for orders.

MRK
5/11/92

Under every circumstances, the building cannot be vacated before 15 days. Comes— the matter must be over— UP
5/11/92

Communicated to the Dy Comr. by D.O. of 6th Nov 92

= Submitted for orders with Bangalore Dy Comr's letter No 410 of 5th Nov. 1892 and an English precis of the Vernacular papers which accompany them.

MRK 10/11/92

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Refee - Bangalore Dy Comm^rs docket no D 410 dated 5th November 1892 submitting copy of a docket together with its enclosure, a Vernacular file from the Vice President of the City Municipality, Bangalore, from which it will be seen that the owner of the Mantapam near the Lalbagh did not accept Rs 49-4-6 as compensation for the aforesaid Mantapam. The following are the facts which the Vernacular file discloses -

Precis

Petn to the Dy Comm^r, Bangalore d^t from Mitayi Shikkankatappa, a Shopkeeper, Lalbagh road, Bangalore 30-2-92

P. 3.

Petn^r complained that he had received an order from the Municipal Board of 8th Idem that he should remove the building in which he kept his shop and place the site at the disposal of the Municipal Board and stated that unless he was granted

and a piece of land elsewhere in the same road to raise a building, he would not be able to carry out the the order of the Municipal Board referred to above.

As no details were contained in the notice issued by the Municipality the Dy Commr asked the Vice Presdt to state why it was that steps were being taken for the acquisition of the land in question.

The Vice Presdt stated that the approach to the Lal-Bagh & its gates were improved as per his Highness the Maharaja's orders & that the Petrol Shop stood at the end of the railings put on the left side and disfigured the view and that therefore a notice was issued to him to remove the building

Yadast No 963 of 19.2.92 from the Dy Commr to the Municipal Vice President

P.2.

× Mitayi Venkatappa of Bangalore is hereby required to remove within 4 days from date of service hereof the stone Mantapam erected by him on a piece of Sirkar land in front of the Lal Bagh, on pain of the same being caused to be done by the undersd offr. P.1.

Vice Presdt's reply to the above of 20-2-92

P. 2

April 892

P. 4

Municipal Vice President
to fix the Compensation
which was to be paid to
Petnr and dispose of the
matter, informing him that
an Endt was given to the Petnr
in terms of his request.

Petition of 3rd May 92.

P. 6.

Ranjamma, widow
of Mutaji Mooneppa
submitted to the Commr that the Existence
of the Shop in question
was by reason nuisance
that the building had
been in Existence from
1852-53. and prayed that
She might be granted
a suitable Compensation
& a piece of land of Equal Extent
with the site of the
present Mantap

At the City Municipal
instance, the Cost of
Construction of the
Mantapam in question

P. - 728

on the 3rd May 1892 with the remark that the Petrs did not agree to accept the estimated amount and relinquish his right to the Mantelp.

Memo.

Mr Stevens

Sirs -

Inquiries are

(1) is it necy to acquire the mantelp marked A in above sketch

(2) from what source the cost of acqn is to be met -

The Vestry has come upon. I do not think there is any urgency in removing the mantelp.

9/1/93

Mr Watts

6/1

Drafted 11/1/93

(10)

No 11749
R.F. 196-92

To.

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

Dt. 11/14 January 893.

Correspondence ending with Dy/Comm's Docket No 410 dated 5th Nov. 892, soliciting sanction to acquire under the Land Acquisition Act, a small Stone Mantapam near the Entrance to the Lal Bagh & to remove the Mantapam before the Visit of H. E the Viceroy.

H. E. The Viceroy having come & gone, Govt do not think there is any necessity for removing the Mantapam now.

B. O.

11/1

Chf Sec.

mbn
11/193

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

7311.
11 JAN. 93
R 1903

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 10 January 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 605.

Correspondence ending with this office No. 410 dated 5 November last, regarding the acquisition of a Mantapam near the Lalbagh, Bangalore.—

Solicits the favor of early publication of the prescribed Notification under the Land Acquisition Act.

Nagunachar[?]
for Dy Commissioner

R.

Refer.
[illegible]
11/1/93
Subd.

Subject of the refer has been disposed of in Govt. Progs No 11749 d 11-1-93 declining to sanction the acquisition
R F 196-92
This may therefore be filed.

[illegible]
17/1/93

Order

The amt claimed Rs 200 and an equal area of land in an unobjectionable locality does not appear exhorbitant — The Depy Commr will be prsd to accept & settle the case on these terms

[illegible]

Drafted
[illegible]
1/3/93

[illegible] 11/1

189 .7865
23 JAN. 93
R 2025

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st } January 1893
Received

Enclosures No.

General No 7660
No 11749 dated 11-1-93
196-92

Subject

Requesting that the question of the acquisition of the Mantapam near the Lal Bagh may be reserved for disposal by Sir K. Shishadri Iyer K.C.S.I. the Dewan of Mysore

Submitted
20/1/93

D No 660

Bangalore Residency
Dated 20th January 193.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 11749 dated 11th Instant, Re. R.F 196-92 regarding the small stone Mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh, I have the honor to submit that the removal of the Mantapam was contemplated long before His Excellency the Viceroy's visit was announced and I understand that the measure was verbally ordered by Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer K.C.S.I. I therefore request that the matter may be reserved for disposal by him.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
[illegible]
for Dy Commissioner.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy: Commr. Bangalore Distt.

Dated 1st March 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 14636
No. R.T. 196-92

directing ~~[illegible]~~ the acquisition of the Small Stone mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh, on payment to the owner of the Sum of Rs. 100 and also on grant to him of an equal area of land.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Read,

Correspondence ending with letter No. 660 dated 20th January 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, recommending the acquisition, under the Land Acquisition Act, of a small Stone Mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh, as its owner ~~had~~ declines to accept a compensation of Rs: 49-4-6 ~~wanted and refused to be~~ ~~right to the Mantapa~~ ~~unless he is paid~~ wants Rs: 100/- and a piece of land elsewhere ~~on~~ the same road, to raise a building

No. 14626 Dt. 1st March 93
R. 2. 196-92 4.

Order.

The amount claimed, viz: Rs. 100/- and an equal area of land in an unobjectionable locality do not appear extravagant

extravagant - The Dy:-
Comm:r will be good
enough to settle the
case on these terms.

Ch: Secy

M.M.
1/3/93.

To.

The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore Dist.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893 18 R 9 R2330

From The Superintendent, Govt. Gardens, Bangalore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 18th February

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. ~~215~~ 228

No.

Proposing, with the approval of the Dewan, to extend the Botanical Gardens, by acquiring the inam land comprising survey No. 5 of the Annepur village of the Agram Hobli.

G. Submd 1892

Submitted with draft

ADD
up

1193

From,

The Superintendent,
Govt. Gardens,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to propose with the approval of the Dewan, who visited the site, that the inam land comprising survey No. 5 of the Annepur village of the Agram Hobli be acquired for the extension of the Botanical Gardens.

2 The site in question is situated to the east of the present boundary and comprises 9 acres and 21 guntas of inam land in the possession of Nabi sahib's Makan. The land is at present mortgaged to the

marginally

A. Mamakalli Saidara Ranganna
B. Gollara Kadara Appa
C. Mr. Garud Sharp

cultivate themselves, but it was ... his share to persons named Byannah and Yacoob Sahab.

3 When this property is acquired by Government it will complete the extension of the Botanical Gardens and make the contour or outline sufficiently regular to admit of an enclosure wall being erected to define the Garden boundary once for all.

4 If the question of settlement could be taken up at once, the land would be acquired while it is now lying fallow and thus lessen the equivalent of compensation.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Superintendent.

(15)

Letter from
The Superintendent
Govt Gardens.

No 1492-7 / R1820 dt 8th March 1893 ~~Dated~~.

No

~~Hy~~ No 225 dated 18th February 1893 Submitting proposals for acquiring certain Inam land forming Survey No 5 of the Annepur Village of the Bangalore Taluk for the Extension of the Govt Botanical Gardens.

With reference to the above, requests the Supdt will put himself in communication with the Dy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, who will ascertain the relative claims of the occupants now in possession of the land, settle the

Copy of the above will be forwarded to the Dept Dy Com with a request that he will take steps to ascertain the claims & report for the information of Govt the amount of Compensation

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

No. 14928 dt 8 March 93

Despatched R 1821 / 10

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

amount of compensation payable therefor and submit proposals for the orders of Government.

By order

8/3

Chief Secty.

D.B.

Supdt N.L. Circle

Copy to the Superintendent of Gardens entrusted to his Bangalore District with copy of Superintendent's letter 2nd above referred to.

By order

8/3

Chief Secty.

D.B.

(General Serial No. 30.)

13381

1892-938. R3791

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 27th June 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file V.P.

General No.

D No. 1404.

The Government Proceedings No. 14636 dated 1st March 196 last regarding the acquisition of a small Mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh. — " —.

Adverting to the above, I have the honor to state that the owner of the Mantap has selected a piece of land by the side of the Bangalore-Hoskote road and Mr. Cameron's

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

28/6/93

Srinivasa Rao

1/7/93

Rec'd.
14-7-93.

Submitted with draft

21/8/93 Mr. first part

31/12

To

The Accountant and Comptroller

No 10534-5 dt 31/12/93
R7196-92

S. Rao

given in exchange

2. Solicits sanction to the arrangement and to the payment of the compensation of Rs 100/- claimed by the owner.

3. A sketch of the land taken up and that selected in exchange is enclosed, together with the vernacular records of the case.

For Dy/ Commissioner,

WBL

2264.
1893-94.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 21st August 1893.

Despatched 22nd do

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No.
No. 225.

The Government Proceedings
No. 14927 (IS) dated 8th March 1820
last, requesting to take
steps to acquire Inam
land No. 5 of the Annepura
village, Bangalore Taluk,
for the extension of the
Government Botanical
garden.

Adverting to the above,
has the honor to forward
for publication in the
Gazette a draft declaration
under Section 6 of the

To

The same no 10532
RT196-92 dt 21/12/93

Recd 23/8

2. The amount of compensation will be settled in Communication with Mr. J. Cameron and the result reported to Government, in due course.

M Macmillan
for Dy Commissioner

WBS

is hereby declared by Government that the undermentioned land is required for a public purpose i e for the extension of the Government Botanical Garden.

District / Taluk	Village	Name of the holder	Particulars of land: Description	Survey No	Area required: A	G	Assessment			Boundaries
Bangalore / Bangalore	Anjipoor	Nabeesha Makan Inam land Mr B.G. Krishniengar son of Mr Gundachar (mortgagee) confirmed during the Inam settlement.	Dry	Part 5	4	34	6	"	"	East by Survey Nos 4-6 and 7 West - Part No 5, road leading to Siddapur North - road from Bangalore to Hosur
		2 Sadar Rangappa's daughter-in-law Nagamma.	Dry	Part 5.	1	24	2	"	"	
		3 Kadanappa.	Dry	Part 5	1	32	2	"	"	South - Survey No Sikanada Bi attached to Land Basha
					8	10	10			

Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
21st August 93

To the Compiler for publication in the Gazette

Dy Commissioner

070

Successive spies of
the Gazette & Public
of 3 English Officers &
Native Spies & 6 English
& 2 Canarese Spies
by the Ry^r Bangalore
D.S.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy C Bangalore

Dated 31—12—93

Despatched 10—1—94

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 10532
R T. No. 196-92

Dy/s No 2115 & 21 Augt
last regarding the acqn
of certain land for
the Railway

In reply the Dy/s
wish that the proposed
declaration has been
sent to the Compilers
of the Gazette for
publication in due
course —

188
Chief Secy
31/12

3 Drafts

(17)

Read:-

Docket No. 1404 (16) dated 27th June 1893 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, requesting sanction with reference to Govt. Progs No. 14636 / R.7.196-92 dated 1st March 1893, to the payment of compensation of Rs 100/- and to the grant of an equal area of land, to the owner of the Mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh, Bangalore.

No. 10534-5 / R.7.196-92 dt 31.12.93 / 10-1-94

Order thereon:-

The above application seems unnecessary as the necessary sanction vide Govt

already accorded. But as it has been made, sanction is again accorded to the payment of the compensation referred to and the grant of an equal extent of Government land now selected by the side of the Bangalore-Ossoor road.

2. Payment should be made at once lest delay should complicate matters.

3. The Deputy Commissioner will point out the head of account to which the expenditure can

2nd Comm^r. with the Sup^t. of the Lal Baug

can be debited.

31/10

Chief Secy.

To The Deputy Commr., Bangalore
& Comptroller, Mysore.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE (General Serial No. 30.)

189

20 10866 15 JAN 94

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 10th
Despatched 12th } January/94
Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 763.

This Office No 1404 dated 27th June last, regarding the acquisition of a small mantapam near the entrance to the Lal Bagh.

Has the honor to solicit the favor of early orders in the matter.

for Dy Commissioner

Rev 15-1-94

31/12/93
No 10534-5
RA-196-92

The matter has been disposed of. Please see Govt Pro: No 10534-5- RA-196-92 dt 31st Decr/93. Mismaybe filed. Subt for orders.

29/1 31/1/94

31/1/94

1896/1901

FROM The Dy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated Rev & Genl Deptt

Despatched 15th / 16th } January 1901

Received

General No.

D No. 1103

Enclosure No. One Statement

Secretary's docket No. 10532
R 7196-97

of the 31st December 1896

Forwards, for sanction, a Statement shewing the particulars of lands to be given in exchange for the Service Inam land mortgaged to Mr Garudachar, in the yedigiru Nagasandra village Bangalore Taluk & taken up for extending the Lal Bagh at Bangalore

R Ref: mr
17.1.01
E. Records
Please send the file &
R 7196 97 — Rev: file required
21/1/01
R.B. 21/1/01
Submitted with office note
30/1/01

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 15th January 1901.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspond
ence ending with your docket No. 10532
R. F. 196-92
dated the 31st December 1893, regarding
the acquisition of certain lands for
the Lalbagh at Bangalore, I have the
honor to state that out of the extent
of 8 acres and 10 guntas for which
declaration under the Land Acquisition
Regulation was published, 4 acres
and 34 guntas were in the enjoyment
of Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar, now
of Mr. Garudachar, the mortgagee
thereof. This was an inam land

attached to the Nabishaw Mah
in Bangalore and its mortgage
to Mr. Gamdachar had been re
cognized during the inam settle
ment. The land was acquired and
given over to the possession of Mr.
Cameron, the Superintendent of the
Lalbag, in March 1895. Mr. Cameron
proposed that compensation at the
rate of Rs. 175/- per acre, might be paid
to Mr. B. G. Krishniengar; but the
latter requested that suitable lands
in the neighbourhood might be
purchased by Government and granted
to him to be held on the conditions
which applied to the Makan land
mortgaged to his father. It was
not easy to purchase lands in the
neighbourhood which could satisfy
Mr. B. G. Krishniengar, and consequently
the question has remained unsettled

Now, a proposal to purchase a portion of survey No. 56 in the Yediyuru Nagasandra village in the Bangalore Taluk and give it to Mr. Krishna Iyengar has been made and agreed to by him. At the rate of Rs. 175/- per acre, the amount of compensation payable to Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar is Rs. 848-12-0. Survey No. 56, which is now proposed to be purchased and given to Mr. Krishna Iyengar, may be had at Rs. 150 per acre. At this rate, an extent of 5 acres and 26 guntas can be purchased for Rs. 848-12-0. Between the 4 acres and 34 guntas taken from Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar, and 5 acres and 26 guntas, now proposed to be given to him, there is a difference of Re 1 in Kandayam, and this difference is

agreed to pay, as jodi. I beg to submit, herewith, a statement giving the particulars of the lands concerned and to solicit the order of Government to the exchange.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Statement showing the particulars of land to be given in exchange for the Service Inam land mortgaged to M/s Ganda - Char in the yedigunu Nagasandra village, Bangalore Taluk and taken for extending the Lal Bagh and Bangalore.

District	Taluk	Hobli	Village	Name of the original Inamdar.	Name of the present holder.	Survey No	Description	Particulars as per account: Extent	Assessment	Quit rent	Land taken up: Extent	Assessment	Particulars of land proposed to be given in exchange: Hobli	Village	Survey No	Description	Extent	Assessment	Whether the whole or part of the No is to be granted.	Remarks.
Bangalore	Bangalore	Agara	Anuipura	Arabiahan Makanda.	M/s B. G. Krishniengar	5	Dry	gaires	12 ″ ″ ″ ″ ″		A. G. 4-34	6 8 ″	Agara	Yedigunu Nagasandra.	56	Dry	Ac G 5 26	7 8 ″	Part	

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 15th January 1900.

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Draft as per orders

(24)

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. 6101 / A 196-92 / 14 dt 14.2.01

The Deputy Commissioner's letter no 1103 dated 15th January 1901 Submitting a Statement showing the particulars of land to be given in exchange to Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar, for the Inam land taken up for the extension of Botanical gardens, (Lal Bagh), Bangalore.

Referring to the above has the honor to observe that Survey no 5 of the Annipoor village, Bangalore Taluk, taken up for the extension of the Botanical

out of 8 acres 2 / 10 gunthas of

Botanical gardens referred to in the above is footnoted to be a kind of Devadaya Inam and to request therefore that the Dy: Commr will be good enough ~~enough~~ to submit a copy of the Inam Settlement Register wh will throw more light on the tenure of the Inam in question and a further report with requisite information as to how the other shares of the Inam acquired were dealt with.

a portion of 4 acres & 34 guntas is dealt with in the proposal made in the above. It is not clear how the claims of the other persons interested were considered with the case have been disposed of. further particulars on this point are called for. It is also requested that a copy of the Inam settlement Register may be forwarded for the information of Govt.

"By order"

12/2/01

for Secretary
" xx

gardens, a portion of 4 acres and 34 guntas is dealt with in the proposal made in the above. It is not clear how the claims of the other persons connected with the case have been disposed of. Further particulars on this point are called for. It is also requested that a copy of the Inam Settlement Register may be forwarded for the information of Govt.

" By Order

(Sd) C. R.

13.2.01.

For Secretary

Draft for Approval
1901.

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

23

The Dy: Commissioner
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 18-9-01.

Received

General No. 1345

R. F. No. 196-92

Enclosure No.

This Office No. 6101-R.F.196-92

dated 14th February 1901.

calling for further particulars regarding land to be given in exchange to Mr. B.G. Krishnaiengar for the Inam land taken up for the extension of Botanical Gardens, (Lal Bagh) Bangalore

and ~~subsequent reminder marginally noted.~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

N 14/9/01

~~Under~~-Secretary.

Copied
17-9-06

1902/03 R 3674.

From The Dy Commrs Office
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev Revenue Depts

Dated 23rd } April 1903
Despatched 24th }

Received

General No.

D No. 1157

Enclosure No one file

Secy's docket No 6101 of 14th
R7 196-92
Feby 1901.

Submits further particulars in regard to 8 acres and 10 guntas out of S No 5 of the Amipoor village Bangalore Taluk taken up for the Botanical Gardens.

Dept Head

24/4/03

Sel: and Mis

Dy Commrs Sirs Office
Bangalore 23rd April 1903

From,

H. H. Sharbes Esq
Dy Commrs Sirs
Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Ranjmwayya Esq Mysore
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: and Coml Depts

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 6101/R7 196-92 dated 14th February last, Calling for further particulars in Connection with 8 acres and 10 guntas of survey No. 5 of the Ammpoor village, Bangalore Taluk, Taken up for the extension of the Botanical gardens | of which for a portion

of

of 4 acres and 34 guntas exchange land was proposed), I have the honour to state that out of S. No. 5 of the Anniyoor village, the Inam land belonging to Attavalasha Makkan, measuring 1 acre and 34 guntas were mortgaged to Mr B. G. Krishnamurthy before the Inam settlement. In the Inam certificate it is remarked that a quit rent of Rs 6-- per annum should be paid to the Makkan. Out of the remaining portion of the Survey number measuring 3 acres 16 guntas, a portion measuring 1 acre and 24 guntas was mortgaged to Nagamma, the Daughter-in-law of Sadar Rangappa and 1 acre and 32 guntas to one Kadarappa

Subsequent

Accordingly, it was ordered that exchange land should be given to the Makkan, but not to the mortgagee in these two cases. Exchange land will be suitably selected for these two portions and submitted for Government sanction after the settlement of Mr B.G Krishna Iyengar's claim

2. A copy of the decision of Inam Settlement Commission is included in the file

3. The Vernacular file ending with Bangalore Amildar's report No 678 dated 13th April 1903 is also submitted for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H H Sparke

Dy Commissioner

A 3674

(25)

Later Refers

L. R. 611 – 01 – 5

B. Sefit 05.

Read :-

Letter No D 1157 dt 23.4.1903 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt, regarding the grant of A G 5-26 of land out of Sur: No 56 of Yadiyur Nagasandra village, Bangalore Taluk, in exchange for the A G 4-34, out of Sur No 5 in the Anniyur village, which was taken up for extending the Govt Botanical Gardens, Bangalore.

Order thereon :-

The ~~case subject in~~ question with the Connected file of papers is referred to the Revenue Comr with the Mysore for ~~favourable~~ necessary action.

By Comr

Secretary.

To.

The Rev. Comr in Mysore, with Kannada papers.

~~The Dy Comr., Bangalore Dt.~~

seen & retd by the I Cr. 28/7/03

D Cir file

No. R 105-03

R.F. 196-1892 8/7/03

The question with the connected file of papers ending with Comr's Memo dated 1/7/03 read above, will be referred to the Rev Comr in Mysore, for the required action being taken in the matter.

7/7/3.

Done 7/7/03

467

9859